## Public Submission to the West Virginia Legislature Senate Select Committee on Redistricting

**Date Document Received:** October 17, 2021 **Description:** 1 Page

Disclaimer of Liability and Endorsement: The attached document was prepared by a private citizen or organization and submitted to the West Virginia Senate Select Committee on Redistricting as part of the information-gathering process. The views, content, and opinions expressed in these documents are solely those of the third-party preparers and do not reflect the views, legal opinions, or in any way represent official action of the West Virginia Legislature Joint Committee on Redistricting, the West Virginia Senate, the West Virginia House of Delegates, the West Virginia Senate Committee on Redistricting, the West Virginia Legislature, or their members (collectively, "the West Virginia Legislature"). The attached document is provided for information and convenience of the public. Reference to any specific redistricting plan, map, district, or process does not constitute endorsement or recommendation by the West Virginia Legislature. The West Virginia Legislature makes no claims, promises, guarantees, or warranties about the contents, errors, or omissions in the content of the attached document. The West Virginia Legislature expressly disclaims responsibility for the content of the attached document.

From: Michele Baranaskas

Sent: Sunday, October 17, 2021 9:44 PM

**To:** Joint Redistricting

**Subject:** Sypolt # 1

**Dear Senators:** 

Sypolt # 1 is the most reasonable map to me for the following reasons:

- 1. The cities of Morgantown, Huntington, Charleston+South Charleston, Parkersburg, Martinsburg and Beckley appear intact.
- 2. If South Charleston is in the same district as Charleston as it appears to be, this is my preference. Many, if not all of the other maps divide South Hills from downtown Charleston, yet attach Charleston to a northern rural area. Sypolt #1 appears to not do this. I think it is better to put Charleston (including South Hills) and South Charleston together in one district.
- 3. The county groupings roughly seem to be in line with other regional groupings, though I wonder if the division of both Fayette & Raleigh counties could be fixed.

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*PLEASE do not pass the travesty of SB3034 SFA 1 10-14\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

To those who have approached this task with the intent to be transparent, fair and nonpartisan, I appreciate your efforts.

Michele Baranaskas